

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

lress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW Hento gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,483	11/19/2001	John A. Wishneusky	10559/535001/P12545	9880
20985 7	7590 04/21/2004		EXAM	INER
	HARDSON, PC	KIM, KENNETH S		
	390 EL CAMINO REAL N DIEGO, CA 92130-2081	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2111	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	ر 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/989,483	WISHNEUSKY, JOHN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth S KIM	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2001.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 10-16 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-9 and 17-21 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/989,483

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10, the order of processing for the instruction selected from the second of the plurality of context registers is ambiguous, because selection of the address for fetching takes place after the selection of instruction for execution.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dubey et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,724,565

Application/Control Number: 09/989,483

Art Unit: 2111

<u>Dubey et al</u> teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1 including a processing system that executes multiple instruction contexts comprising:

- (a) an instruction memory (100, 110) or storing instructions that are executed by the system (col. 6, lines 43 and 49),
- (b) a processor unit for executing instructions in a pipelined fashion (figs 1A and 1B),
- (c) a plurality of context registers (120, 140) for storing instructions (141) and instruction addresses (120; col. 7, lines 9 and 15) for contexts awaiting execution,
- (c) fetch logic (130) for selecting an address from one of said plurality of context registers and selecting an instruction from a second of said plurality of context registers for execution by said processor unit, with the fetch logic selecting the address and the instruction substantially simultaneously (col. 5, line 59) for each cycle of execution of said processor unit.

The program product claim 16 for loading an instruction from a second context register simultaneously with executing a previously loaded instruction from a first context register is equivalently rejected based on the same reason.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>Laauterbach</u> taught a method of alternating threads in each clock cycle in each pipelined stage of a processor.

Neuchterlein et al taught a method of switching thread on detection of branch instruction.

Art Unit: 2111

<u>Kimura</u> taught a method of simultaneously fetching and executing instructions from different contexts.

<u>Davis et al</u> taught a method of processing multiple threads in a single pipelined processor.

<u>Parady</u> taught a method of switching threads by selecting program address register.

7. Claims 2-9 and 17-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The references of record do not teach the simultaneous storing of control information derived from execution of a previous instruction in a context register in each cycle.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (703) 305-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

April 16, 2004

RENNETH S. KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER